

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 0 899 017 A1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

published in accordance with Art. 158(3) EPC

(43) Date of publication:
03.03.1999 Bulletin 1999/09(51) Int. Cl.⁶: **B05B 7/06**(21) Application number: **97904459.1**(86) International application number:
PCT/ES97/00034(22) Date of filing: **18.02.1997**(87) International publication number:
WO 97/43048 (20.11.1997 Gazette 1997/50)(84) Designated Contracting States:
DE DK ES FR GB IT

(72) Inventors:

- **GANAN CALVO, Alfonso**
E-41018 Sevilla (ES)
- **BARRERO RIPOLL, Antonio**
E-41018 Sevilla (ES)

(30) Priority: **13.05.1996 ES 9600110**(71) Applicant:
Universidad De Sevilla, Vicerrectorado De
Investigacion
41013 Sevilla (ES)


(74) Representative:

Price, Nigel John King
J.A. KEMP & CO.
14 South Square
Gray's Inn
London WC1R 5LX (GB)

(54) LIQUID ATOMIZATION PROCESS

(57) The object of the present invention is a liquid atomization process based on the formation of a capilar microjet upon sucking a liquid by means of a high speed gas flow through a small diameter orifice. According to a parametric determination, the liquid sucked forms a stationary capilar jet having a very small diameter, and accelerated and stabilized by the tangential viscous efforts exerted by the gas on the liquid surface, going through the orifice and coming out to the outside wherein finally the microjet is broken up into microdroplets having an extremely small size and scarce dispersity. The disclosed process applies to all mechanisms requiring homogenous atomization of liquids and specially to the electronic injection of fuel.

FIGURA 1

**EP 0 899 017 A1**

Description

OBJECT OF THE INVENTION

5 [0001] The object of the present invention is a liquid atomization procedure that uses appropriate geometric parameters and physical properties to ensure that the liquid to be atomized is discharged as a continuous, steady capillary microjet through a suitable orifice. The procedure relies on the microwithdrawal effect undergone by a liquid-gas interface when the gas is withdrawn from a point (orifice) near the liquid surface. The invented procedure is applicable to any mechanism involving homogeneous atomization of liquids (particularly electronic fuel injection).

BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNIQUE

10 [0002] Combined withdrawal of an interface between two immiscible fluids (two liquids or a liquid and a gas) has recently been studied by authors such as E.O. Tuck and J.-M. van den Broek ("A cusp-like free surface flow due to a submerged source or sink", J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 25, 443-450, 1984); L.K. Forbes and G.C. Hocking ("Flow caused by a point sink in a fluid having a free surface", J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 32, 231-249, 1990); and T.J. Singler and J.F. Geer Singler ("A hybrid perturbation-Galerkin solution to a problem in selective withdrawal", Phys. Fluids A, 5, 1156-1166, 1993). It is acknowledged to be a particular case of a more general interfacial instability phenomenon known as *selective withdrawal/combined withdrawal*. Studies in this field have focussed largely on the determination of parameters (e.g. the distance from the sink to the free surface, the fluid density ratio, the surface tension between the fluids) at the onset of combined withdrawal (i.e. of sweeping of the fluid behind the free surface when the fluid in front of it is withdrawn at a given distance from the surface). However, the fluid dynamics of the microjet produced by combined withdrawal seemingly remains unexplored. The observation and study of the microjet, its peculiar properties and its potential uses, led to the present atomization procedure.

25 [0003] Existing atomization methods convert the type of energy supplied to the system (e.g. kinetic energy of the gas in pneumatic atomizers, electrical energy in sonic and ultrasonic piezoelectric atomizers, mechanical energy in rotary atomizers, electrostatic energy in electrohydrodynamic atomizers, etc.) into surface tension free energy since the liquid-gas surface is dramatically expanded by effect of these processes. As a function of the resulting degree of disorder, part of the energy is also degraded in the statistical dispersion of the resulting drop sizes. Depending on how disorderly and rapidly (or gradually and efficiently) the processes by which the above-mentioned energies are converted into free surface energy take place, the resulting sprays are suitable for one or other specific use.

30 [0004] As a rule, the spray should consist of small drops of uniform size. A small drop size is always in conflict with a high flow-rate in the fluid to be atomized, which results in high energy use per time unit. Also, uniformity in drop size relies on gradual, non-turbulent, scarcely random processes that are incompatible with the rapid conversion of volumetric energy into surface energy required by the typically high liquid flow-rates involved in many cases and with technological simplicity in the atomizer. Mechanical simplicity and expeditiousness in the atomizer, and irreversibility and randomness in the atomization process, are all highly correlated.

40 [0005] Existing pneumatic atomizers involve the cascading breakage of the interface from a high Weber number to a unity number, the latter being accomplished when drop diameters are such that surface tension forces offset the inertia of the gas relative to the liquid. Such atomizers include the straightforward coaxial model of S. Nukiyama and Y. Tanasawa ("Experiments on the atomization of liquids in the airstream", Trans. Soc. Mech. Eng. Jpn., 5, 68-75, 1939) or the airblast models developed by L.D. Wigg ("Drop-size predictions for twin fluid atomizers", J. Inst. Fuel, 27, 500-505, 1964), G.E. Lorenzetto and A.H. Lefebvre ("Measurements of drop size on a plain jet airblast atomizer", AIAA J., 15, 1006-1010, 1977), A.K. Jasuja ("Plain-jet airblast atomization of alternative liquid petroleum fuels under high ambient air pressure conditions", ASME Paper 82-GT-32, 1982), and N.K. Risk and A.H. Lefebvre ("Spray characteristics of plain-jet airblast atomizers", Trans. ASME J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power, 106, 639-644, 1983), among many others, or that reported by A. Ünal ("Flow separation and liquid rundown in a gas-atomization process", Metall. Trans. B., 20B, 613-622, 1989), based on the coaxial atomization of a liquid metal using a supersonic gas flow.

50 [0006] Cascading processes in existing pneumatic atomizers involve highly turbulent flows and randomness, which result in highly disperse drop size and atomizates.

[0007] One other major disadvantage of this type of atomizer is the limited sizes it provides (above 20 microns on average at best).

55 [0008] Whistling atomizers also have their pitfalls, prominent among which are noise, a relative complexity -they use wave generators and piezoelectric devices to excite the capillary jet produced-, and a limited drop size (usually larger than 50 μm).

[0009] One novel atomization system that also provides extremely small, monodisperse drop sizes is electrostatic or electrospray atomization. The system has been patented (e.g. by M.L. Coldclough and T.J. Noakes, "Apparatus and process for producing powders and other granular materials", European Patent Application 87305187.4, 1987). The

chief disadvantage of this method in many cases is that it requires using a high-voltage dc source -which poses serious problems- and hence a discharge system (e.g. electrical crowns), both of which add up to the inherent complexity, large weight and low manipulability of this system.

5 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0010] The present invention is a liquid atomization procedure based of the withdrawal of the liquid to be atomized by a gas flow. The liquid flows through a feeding point housed in a pressure chamber. The point faces an orifice that communicates the chamber with the outside. The withdrawing gas flows across the chamber and wraps the liquid to be atomized, delivered by the feeding point, to form the atomizate.

[0011] The object of this patent application provides drops of extremely small (down to 1 micron) and highly mono-disperse size (depending on the operating conditions, the relative standard deviation is 10-30%). The proposed system also possesses the simplicity and economy of a pneumatic system. In addition, drop size can be adjusted at will via the flow-rate of the injected liquid and the pressure drop across the pressure chamber, from which the liquid jet is concentrically and axially withdrawn.

[0012] The capillary microjet is accelerated and stabilized by tangential viscous stress exerted by the gas on the liquid surface. The microjet leaves the chamber through the orifice and splits into microdrops with the following properties:

- (1) An extremely small size (down to 1 μm) resulting from breakage of the capillary microjet, and
- (2) very low size dispersion provided the jet diameter is stable, which is the case as long as the liquid flow-rate is.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0013] Formation of the microjet and its acceleration are based on the abrupt pressure drop associated to the steep acceleration undergone by the gas on passing through the orifice. This results in a large pressure difference between the liquid and gas, which in turn produces a highly curved zone on the liquid surface near the orifice and in the formation of a cuspidal point from which a steady microjet flows provided the amount of liquid withdrawn through the orifice is replenished.

[0014] The parameter window used (viz. the set of special values for the liquid properties, flow-rate used, feeding needle diameter, orifice diameter, pressure ratio, etc.) should be large enough to be compatible with virtually any liquid (dynamic viscosities in the range from 10^{-4} to $1 \text{ kg m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$); in this way, the capillary microjet that emerges from the drop at the end of the feeding needle is absolutely stable and perturbations produced by breakage of the jet cannot travel upstream. Downstream, the microjet splits into evenly shaped drops simply by effect of capillary instability (see, for example, Lord?? Rayleigh, "On the instability of jets", Proc. London Math. Soc., 4-13, 1878), similarly to a laminar capillary jet falling from a half-open tap.

[0015] When the stationary, steady regime is reached, the capillary jet that emerges from the end of the drop at the outlet of the feeding point is concentrically withdrawn into the nozzle. After the jet emerges from the drop, the liquid is accelerated by tangential sweeping forces exerted by the gas stream flowing on its surface, which gradually decreases the jet cross-section. Surprisingly, the jet radius is further decreased by one other effect, viz. the pressure jump across the liquid-gas interface as the gas pressure is reduced by its acceleration in the micronozzle. The liquid undergoes much less marked acceleration and pressure drop than the gas because it is accelerated by tangential viscous forces only.

[0016] The forces exerted by the gas flow on the liquid surface should be steady enough to prevent surface oscillations. Therefore, any turbulence in the gas motion should be avoided: even if the gas velocity is high, the characteristic size of the orifice should ensure that the gas motion is laminar (similarly to the boundary layers formed on the jet and on the inner surface of the nozzle or hole).

[0017] In summary, the gas flow, which effects the liquid withdrawal and its subsequent acceleration after the jet is formed, should be very rapid, but also uniform, in order to avoid perturbing the fragile capillary interface (the surface of the drop that emerges from the jet) and hence its breaking. Therefore, the dynamic forces exerted by the gas should never exceed the surface tension (drop and microjet) at any time during the process. In terms of non-dimensional fluid dynamics numbers, the Weber number (viz. the dynamic to surface tension force ratio) should not exceed unity during the process. The Weber number for the microjet will inevitably be unity because the pressure drop in the gas is similar in magnitude to the effect of the surface tension:

$$\frac{2\gamma}{d_j} \sim \frac{1}{2} \rho v_g^2$$

where γ and ρ are the surface tension and liquid density, respectively; and d_j and v_g are the characteristic diameter of the jet and the characteristic velocity of the gas. Also, the velocity of the gas around the drop that produces the jet must be related to that across the orifice via the areas, viz. $V_g D_0^2 \sim v_g d_0^2$, where V_g is the velocity of the gas around the drop, and D_0 and d_0 are the diameters of the feeding point and orifice, respectively. Since the maximum possible gas velocity at the orifice is similar to the speed of sound, one has

$$V_g \sim \left(\frac{d_0}{D_0}\right)^2 \times 320 \text{ m/s}$$

and, for the jet diameter,

$$d_j \sim \frac{4\gamma}{\rho_g v_g^2} \sim \frac{4 \times 2 \times 10^{-2}}{1.2 \times 320^2} \sim 0.5 \text{ } \mu\text{m} \quad (\gamma = 2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ N/m}^2 \text{ for } n\text{-heptane})$$

[0018] This suggests that micrometric drop sizes can be obtained.

[0019] At the smallest diameters possible with this system (similar to the thickness of the boundary layer), the kinetic energies per unit volume of the liquid and gas should be of the same order. The resulting liquid velocity will be

$$V_1 \sim \left(\frac{\rho_g v_g}{\rho_1}\right)^{1/2} \sim 10 \text{ m/s}$$

where ρ_1 is the liquid density. From the previous equation, the liquid flow-rate turns out to be

$$Q_1 \sim d_j^2 v_1 \sim 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$$

at the smallest drop sizes.

[0020] The gas flow should be laminar in order to avoid a turbulent regime -turbulent fluctuations in the gas flow, which has a high frequency, would perturb the liquid-gas interface. The Reynolds numbers reached at the orifice are

$$Re = \frac{v_g d_0}{\nu_g} \sim 4000$$

where ν_g is the kinematic viscosity of the gas. Even though this number is quite high, there are large pressure gradients downstream (a highly convergent geometry), so a turbulent regime is very unlikely to develop.

[0021] The essential difference from existing pneumatic atomizers (which possess large Weber numbers) is that the aim is not to rupture the liquid-gas interface but the opposite, i.e. to increase the stability of the interface until a capillary jet is obtained. The jet, which will be very thin provided the pressure drop resulting from withdrawal is high enough, splits into drops the sizes of which are much more uniform than those resulting from disorderly breakage of the liquid-gas interface in existing pneumatic atomizers.

[0022] The proposed procedure for atomizing liquids can be used for electronic fuel injection as well as in inhalers for delivery of drugs or anaesthesia and in nebulizers for chemical analyses, among others. Also, it affords mass production of ceramic powders and sintering semiconductors used to manufacture ceramic materials, semiconductors, plastics, etc.

Explanation of the figures:

[0023] In order to complement the above description and facilitate understanding the invention, this report includes an illustrative rather than limitative plan for a prototype model.

Figure 1: Schematic depiction of an atomizer prototype.

1. Feeding needle.

2. End of the feeding needle used to insert the liquid to be atomized.
3. Pressure chamber.
4. Orifice used as gas inlet.
5. End of the feeding needle used to evacuate the liquid to be atomized.
6. Orifice through which withdrawal takes place.
7. Atomizate (spray).

D_0 = diameter of the feeding needle; d_0 = diameter of the orifice through which the microjet is passed; e = axial length of the orifice through which withdrawal takes place; H = distance from the feeding needle to the microjet outlet; P_0 = pressure inside the chamber; P_α = atmospheric pressure.

EXEMPLARY USES OF THE INVENTION

[0024] The proposed atomization system obviously requires delivery of the liquid to be atomized and the gas to be used in the resulting spray. Both should be fed at a rate ensuring that the system lies within the stable parameter window. Multiplexing is effective when the flow-rates needed exceed those on an individual cell. The flow-rates used should also ensure that the mass ratio between the flows is compatible with the specifications of each application.

[0025] Obviously, the gas can be externally supplied at a higher flow-rate in specific applications (e.g. burning, drug inhalation) since this need not interfere with the atomizer operation.

[0026] If the flow-rates are altered, the characteristic time for the variation should be shorter than the hydrodynamic residence times for the liquid and gas in the microjet, and smaller than the reciprocal of the first natural oscillation frequency of the drop formed at the end of the feeding needle.

[0027] Therefore, the gas and liquid can be dispensed by any type of continuous delivery system (e.g. a compressor or a pressurized tank the former and a volumetric pump or a pressurized bottle the latter). If multiplexing is needed, the liquid flow-rate should be as uniform as possible among cells; this may entail propulsion through several capillary needles, porous media or any other medium capable of distributing a uniform flow among different feeding points.

[0028] Each individual atomization device should consist of a feeding point (a capillary needle, a point with an open microchannel, a microperturbation on a continuous edge, etc.) 0.05-2 mm (but, preferentially 0.1-0.4 mm) in diameter, where the drop emerging from the microjet can be anchored, and a small orifice 0.05-2 mm (preferentially 0.1-0.25 mm) in diameter facing the drop and separated 0.1-2 mm (preferentially 0.2-0.5 mm) from the feeding point. The orifice communicates the withdrawal gas around the drop, at an increased pressure, with the zone where the atomizate is produced, at a decreased pressure.

[0029] The atomizer can be made from a variety of materials (metal, plastic, ceramics, glass); the choice is dictated by the projected application.

[0030] Figure 1 depicts a tested prototype where the liquid to be atomized is inserted through one end of the system (2) and the propelling gas is introduced via the special inlet (4) in the pressure chamber (3). The prototype was tested at gas feeding rates from 100 to 2000 mBar above the atmospheric pressure P_α at which the atomized liquid was discharged. The whole enclosure around the feeding needle (1) was at a pressure $P_0 > P_\alpha$. The liquid feeding pressure, P_1 , should always be slightly higher than the gas propelling pressure, P_0 . Depending on the pressure drop in the needle and the liquid feeding system, the pressure difference ($P_1 - P_0 > 0$) and the flow-rate of the liquid to be atomized, Q , are linearly related provided the flow is laminar -which is indeed the case with this prototype. The critical dimensions are the distance from the needle to the plate (H), the needle diameter (D_0), the diameter of the orifice through which the microjet (6) is discharged (d_0) and the axial length, e , of the orifice (viz. the thickness of the plate where the orifice is made). In this prototype H was varied from 0.3 to 0.7 mm on constancy of the distances ($D_0 = 0.45$ mm, $d_0 = 0.2$ mm) and $e = 0.5$ mm. The quality of the resulting spray (7) did not vary appreciably with changes in H provided the operating regime (viz. stationary drop and microjet) was maintained. However, the system stability suffered at the longer H distances (about 0.7 mm). The other atomizer dimensions had no effect on the spray or the prototype functioning provided the zone around the needle (its diameter) was large enough relative to the feeding needle.


Claims

1. A liquid atomization procedure based on the withdrawal of the liquid to be atomized by use of a gas flow. The geometric parameters and physical properties of the liquid ensure that the whole amount of liquid to be atomized, which flows through a feeding point housed in a pressure chamber where the withdrawal gas flows about the liquid to be atomized and facing an orifice that communicates the chamber with the outside, crosses the orifice as a continuous, steady capillary microjet.
2. A liquid atomization procedure according to claim 1, wherein the viscosity of the liquid to be atomized ranges from 10^{-4} to $1 \text{ kg m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

EP 0 899 017 A1

3. A liquid atomization procedure according to claim 2, wherein the viscosity of the liquid to be atomized ranges from 0.3×10^{-3} to $5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ kg m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$??.
- 5 4. A liquid atomization procedure according to claims 1 to 3, wherein the diameter of the feeding point through which the liquid to be atomized ranges from 0.05 to 2 mm.
5. A liquid atomization procedure according to claim 4, wherein the diameter of the feeding point through which the liquid to be atomized ranges from 0.1 to 0.4 mm.
- 10 6. A liquid atomization procedure according to claims 1 to 5, wherein the diameter of the orifice facing the feeding point ranges from 0.05 to 2 mm.
7. A liquid atomization procedure according to claim 6, wherein the diameter of the orifice facing the feeding point ranges from 0.1 to 0.25 mm.
- 15 8. A liquid atomization procedure according to claims 1 to 7, wherein the distance between the feeding point and the facing orifice ranges from 0.1 to 2 mm.
9. A liquid atomization procedure according to claim 8, wherein the distance between the feeding point and the facing orifice ranges from 0.2 to 0.5 mm.
- 20 10. A liquid atomization procedure according to claims 1 to 9, wherein the flow-rate of the liquid to be atomized ranges from 1 nl s^{-1} to $100 \text{ } \mu\text{l s}^{-1}$.
- 25 11. A liquid atomization procedure according to claim 10, wherein the flow-rate of the liquid to be atomized ranges from 0.01 to $10 \text{ } \mu\text{l s}^{-1}$.
12. A liquid atomization procedure according to claims 1 to 11, wherein the velocity of the withdrawing gas ranges from 50 to 2000 m s^{-1} .
- 30 13. A liquid atomization procedure according to claim 12, wherein the velocity of the withdrawing gas ranges from 100 to 500 m s^{-1} .
- 35 14. A liquid atomization procedure according to claims 1 to 13, wherein the pressure ratio between the atomization chamber and pressure chamber ranges from 0 to 0.989.

FIGURA 1



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/ES 97/00034

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER | | |
| IPC6 B05B7/06 | | |
| According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC | | |
| B. FIELDS SEARCHED | | |
| Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) | | |
| IPC6 B05B | | |
| Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched | | |
| Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) | | |
| CIBEPAT, EPODOC, WPI | | |
| C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | | |
| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
| X | DE 41 28 590 A (KUMAG AG) 04 March 1993 see abstract; figures 2,4,7,11 see column 4 | 1,4,6,8, 9 |
| P,X | WO 96 21516 A (GEORGIA TECH RESEARCH CORPORATION) 18 July 1996 see abstract see page 7, line 29 see page 9, line 9 - line 10 see page 11, paragraph 1 see page 14, line 23 - page 15, line 13; figures 2-4 | 1,2,6, 8-11 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex. | | |
| * Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier documents but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "Z" document member of the same patent family | | |
| Date of the actual completion of the international search | | Date of mailing of the international search report |
| 20 May 1997 (20.05.97) | | 21 May 1997 (21.05.97) |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA/ S.P.T.O. | | Authorized officer |
| Facsimile No. | | Telephone No. |

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1992)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/ES 97/00034

| C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|
| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
| Y | EP 0 249 186 A (H: IKEUCHI) 16 December 1987 see column 4, line 30 see column 8, line 30 - line 32 see column 8, line 53 - column 9, line 6; figures 3,48,6,8A,88 see figure 10 | 1,4-6,8,9, 12,13 |
| A | see column 7, line 50 - line 55 | 2,3,7,10, 11,14 |
| Y | ----- EP 0 294 690 A (INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION) 14 December 1988 see abstract see column 3, line 18 - column 4, line 1; figure 1 ----- | 1,4-6,8, 9,12,13 |
| X | FR 1 011 655 A (BEVENGUT) 25 June 1952 see the whole document | 1 |
| X | ----- GB 652 206 A (REINHOLD) 25 April 1951 see the whole document ----- | 1 |

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of second sheet) (July 1992)